

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/648,181	SHIBAYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	LILIAN VO	2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) LILIAN VO.

(2) Joe Kim.

**Status of Application: Amendment**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 31 January 2008**

**Time: 10Am**

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney Mr. Joe Kim authorized an examiner amendments to put claims 1, 8, 12, 13 and 14 in condition for the allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)